UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,198	03/26/2004	Didier Communi	9409/2113B	2940	
29933 PALMER & D	7590 01/04/2007 ODGE, LLP		EXAMINER		
KATHLEEN M. WILLIAMS			LI, RUIXIANG		
BOSTON, MA	TON AVENUE 02199		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1646		
-			,		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	01/04/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/811,198	COMMUNI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ruixiang Li	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 O	<u>ctober 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims			٠			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 20-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/811,198

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Status of Application, Amendments, and/or Claims

Applicants' amendment filed on 10/12/2006 has been entered in full. Claims 4 and 6

have been amended. Claims 20-22 have been added. Claims 1-23 are pending. Claims

1-7 and 20-23 are under consideration.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office Action.

Withdrawn Objections and/or Rejections

The rejection of claims 4-7 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for written description

has been withdrawn in view of amended claims.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C.§ 101 and §112, 1st Paragraph

The rejections of claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 and 35 U.S.C. §112, 1st paragraph

are maintained for the reasons set forth in the previous office action. New claims 20-23

are also rejected on the same basis.

Applicants cite a number of references and argue that UTP is known in the art as a

therapeutic for Cystic Fibrosis.

Applicants' argument has been fully considered, but is not deemed to be persuasive

because the instant invention is drawn to an isolated antibody that binds to a protein

receptor comprising SEQ ID NO: 2 and a composition comprising the antibody. The art

recognized utility for UTP does not renders the instant claimed invention a specific and

substantial utility because there is no structural or functional link between UTP and

antibody that binds to the protein comprising SEQ ID NO: 2.

Moreover, as taught in the art, UTP activates a number of receptors, not only one. For

instance, Nicholas et al. teach that UTP activates both P2Y2 and P2Y4 (Molecular

Pharmacology 50:224-229, 1996; see, e.g., Abstract). There is no evidence on the

record showing that UTP is the natural ligand of the receptor of SEQ ID NO: 2 and

exerts such a therapeutic effect via the protein receptor comprising SEQ ID NO: 2.

Applicants cite a number of references and argue that there is a reasonable correlation

between the activity in question (UTP mediated signaling of P2Y4 (SEQ ID NO: 2) and

the asserted utility (treating cystic fibrosis). That is, the actions of extracellular

nucleotides (UTP) are mediated by P2Y4 receptors as disclosed in the specification,

and that P2Y4 receptors are a therapeutic target for the treatment for cystic fibrosis, as

asserted in the specification.

Applicants' argument has been fully considered, but is not deemed to be persuasive for

the following reasons. First, the effective filing date of the instant application is

considered to be 11/12 1998, i.e., the filing date of 09/077173, whereas the claimed earliest foreign priority date is 11/21/1995. Thus, all cited references are after the effective filing date of the instant application, not prior to the effective filing date of the instant application (top of page 9 of Applicant's response). Otherwise, the instant claims would be rejected based upon a prior art rejection using the art cited by Applicants in the present response.

Page 4

Secondly, the instant specification asserts that the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 is a pyrimidinergic receptor, preferably a UTP-specific receptor (lines 25-27 of page 2) and an agonist or antagonist may be used in a pharmaceutical composition in the treatment of cystic fibrosis (lines 10-11 of page 7). These asserted utilities are not specific and substantial because they do not identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" context of use. The assertion that an agonist or antagonist may be used in a pharmaceutical composition in the treatment of cystic fibrosis (lines 10-11 of page 7) is a clear invitation for further research because either an agonist or antagonist, not both, may be used for treatment of cystic fibrosis. More importantly, the disclosure neither identifies the biological functions of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 nor establishes a causative link between the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 and cystic fibrosis. Clearly, further research would be required to identify the physiological roles of the molecules of the present invention, to establish a causative link between the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 and cystic fibrosis.

Thirdly, the sequence and prior art search does not reveal that the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 of the present invention or the antibody that binds to the polypeptide has a well-established utility. The specific physiological roles of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 remain elusive even after the filing date of the instant application. As taught by Nicholas et al. (Molecular Pharmacology 50:224-229, 1996), "unambiguous evidence for regulated release of uridine nucleotides is needed to confirm the physiological importance of pyrimidinergic receptor-signaling responses (the third paragraph of right column of page 228). Even the specific cellular activities of uridine nucleotides, the ligand of the receptor protein of SEQ ID NO: 2 of the present invention, remain unproved (top of left column of page 229).

The cited references by Applicants report various studies related to P2Y4 receptor and UTP; they do not establish a causative link between the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 and cystic fibrosis. The cited references by Applicants clearly document that even after the effective filing date of the instant application, the functional activities of the P2Y4 receptor is unclear and researchers in the field still investigate the physiological roles of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2. Thus, the claimed invention is not useful in its current form under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim Rejections under 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph (Written description)

The rejection of claims 2 and 3 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is maintained for

the reasons set forth in the previous office action. New claims 20-23 are also rejected

on the same basis.

Citing case law, Applicants argue that the structure and functional properties of the

claimed antibodies are disclosed in the instant specification, they meet the written

description requirement.

Applicants' argument has been fully considered, but is not deemed to be persuasive

because while providing adequate description for an antibody that binds to the

polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 2, the instant disclosure fails to provide adequate

description for an antibody that is an agonist or antagonist of the polypeptide comprising

SEQ ID NO: 2. The specification does not disclose the structural features of an antibody

that acts an agonist or antagonist. The specification does not even disclose a single

antibody that is an agonist or antagonist of the polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 2.

Citing Example 16 of the Written Description Guidelines issued by the USPTO,

Applicants argue that because the instant disclosure meets the criteria with respect to

the antigen P2Y4, the disclosure meets the requirement under 35 U.S.C. 112, first

paragraph, as providing an adequate written description of the claimed invention.

Applicants' argument has been fully considered, but is not deemed to be persuasive

because Example 16 is related to an antibody, not an antibody that acts as an agonist

or antagonist. Moreover, as acknowledged in the specification (line 11 of page 18), no

specific antagonist was available for any P2Y subtype at the time of the filing of the

instant application, further indicating that producing an antibody that acts as an agonist

or antagonist of the antigen that the antibody binds is not conventional in the art. Thus,

Applicants were not in possession of the invention of claims 2, 3, and 20-23.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the

extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,198

Art Unit: 1646

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 8

examiner should be directed to Ruixiang Li whose telephone number is (571) 272-0875.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00

pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Nickol, can be reached on (571) 272-0835. The fax number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, please contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at the toll-free phone number 866-217-9197.

Ruisiang Li

Ruixiang Li, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

December 28, 2006